SYLABUS

Nazwa przedmiotu/ Cou	arse title	Advanced Research Communication	
Nazwa jednostki prowadzącej		Institute of Nature Conservation PAS	
przedmiot/Unit name			
Kierunek studiów/Field of study		Doctoral School of Natural and Agricultural Sciences	
Forma studiów/Type of study		Regular	
Rodzaj przedmiotu/ Course type		Compulsory	
Rok i semestr studiów/Year and		Summer semester 2023/2024	
semester Stopień imie i pazwisko		Dr hab Agnieszka Bednarska prof IOP PAN	
koordynatora przedmiotu/		Di nab. Agineszka Deunarska, prof. for Thiv	
Name of co-ordinator			
Stopień, imię i nazwisko osoby		Prof. dr hab. Ryszard Laskowski (UJ)	
prowadzącej (osób prowadzących)		Dr hab. Marcin Czarnołęski, prof. UJ (UJ)	
zajęcia z przedmiotu/ D	egree,	Dr hab. Agnieszka Bednarska, prof. IOP PAN	
name and surname of p	person(s)		
teaching the course		lastrong 10 h merleshang 00 h	
realizowanych godzin / '	Type of	lectures – 10 n, worksnops – 20 n	
course number of hour	Type of		
	Cele	przedmiotu/Aim of the course	
Gaining knowledge or	n the com	munication of research to scientific community in the	
form of oral presentat	tions, post	ers and publications	
Wymagania wstępne/	None	•	
Requirements			
	Wiedza/	Knowledge:	
	Student	knows how to:	
	• search	• search for scientific information, evaluate sources, select and	
	integrate	information;	
	• write s	cientific manuscript at the level acceptable in the best	
	international journals;		
Efekty kształcenia/	• evaluat	e scientific quality of others' research;	
Effects of eductation	• effect	ively communicate scientific information to the	
	commun	ity, using appropriate platforms;	
	• particip	pate actively in scientific discussions.	
	Umiciotr	océci (Strille)	
	<u>Student</u>	io able to:	
	• commi	is able to.	
	• Commu	tions posters and research and review papers:	
	• presenta	a manuscript, submit it to an appropriate high rank	
	• prepar	iournal and accent criticism from neers in a	
	construc	tive way:	
	particit	nate actively in the neer review system: can assess the	
	ouality of	of research and a manuscript or grant proposal can	
	Commun	icate his opinion in a polite and constructive manner	
	• commi	inicate science to public through popular lectures and	
	articles.	active participation in public discussions. and	
	consulta	ncy.	
	Kompete	ncje społeczne/Attitudes:	
	• studen	t understands that science is based on full honesty and	
	transpar	ency, hence when doing research and communicating	

its results, all details have to be specified in a way that is clear
and detailed enough to let others repeat exactly the same study;
• student is ready to accept comments, including criticism, in a
constructive way, and understands that this is the best tool to
improve his/her scholarly work;
• student understands the need to share his/her research
results with general public and the role of this process in the
educational and financing systems
• student understands and accepts that an important part of
scientific approach is dissemination of her/his research results
and exposition of them to falsification tests;
• student perceives other scientists as partners in discussion,
even if they represent different fields of science;
• student understands that even strong criticism should be
always expressed in a polite and constructive manner.

Treści programowe / Program content

The lectures will familiarize PhD students with the most important issues in writing scientific articles, making oral and poster conference presentations, and reviewing others work. Examples of well and purely done work will be presented and discussed with PhD students to pinpoint most important and common mistakes, and to learn the principles of good writing and presenting the work.

During workshops PhD students will learn how to search and evaluate scientific information, and how to communicate science to the public; PhD students will be interviewed about different topics related to their specialties, but also to issues of more general interest.

Each PhD student will submit a short research article and a review of a manuscript. This will require effective use of the skills learned in the first part of the course: information search, its verification, and summarizing the acquired knowledge.

Each PhD student will also give oral presentation which will be followed by discussion, simulating conditions of a typical scientific meeting. This will expose PhD students to possible problems with understanding questions and formulating clear replies. The talks and discussions will be taped and analyzed later by the whole group, under teacher's supervision.

PhD students will also prepare posters aimed at general public, which will be reviewed by teachers and fellow PhD students. The posters should aim at popularizing scientific results.

Metody dydaktyczne/ Teaching methods	The methods include lecture, discussion, group project, individual project, review, self-evaluation, presentation: • lectures introducing topics and teaching theoretical knowledge; lectures include examples of effective library and data-base queries, well prepared and faulty scientific reports and papers, polite and constructive vs. impolite and unconstructive reviews etc. lectures explain the
Metody dydaktyczne/ Teaching methods	The methods include lecture, discussion, group project, individual project, review, self-evaluation, presentation: • lectures introducing topics and teaching theoretical knowledge; lectures include examples of effective library and data-base queries, well prepared and faulty scientific reports and papers, polite and constructive vs. impolite and unconstructive reviews, etc.; lectures explain the basis of communication rules and methods that increase efficiency of presentation. • workshops teaching practical skills; workshops include
	training in research paper writing and reviewing scientific manuscripts, preparation of different types of presentations (oral poster) presentation in front of group
	of people with video-recording.

Sposób(y) i forma(y) zaliczenia / Evaluation	 Passing the course with a grade based on: short scientific paper based either on own data or on meta-analysis – 30% manuscript review – 20% oral talk with computer-based presentation of scientific data to scientific community – 20% poster preparing and presentation to general public – 20% active participation in discussions (including critical evaluation of other presentations) – 10% attendance of at least 26 hours out of 30
Metody i kryteria oceny/ Methods and criteria of assessment	Evaluation of written reports and presentations. Evaluation of activity of PhD students during practical.
Całkowity nakład pracy studenta potrzebny do osiągnięcia założonych efektów w godzinach oraz punktach ECTS /	Participation in the lectures (10 h) and workshops (20 h). Self-preparation of oral talk and poster (15 h), preparation of short scientific paper and manuscript review (group work, 15 h) 2 ECTS
Total student workload needed to achieve the assumed effects in hours and in ECTS credits	
Język wykładowy/ Language	English
Praktyki zawodowe w ramach przedmiotu / Internship as part of the subject	-
Literatura /Literature	Carpenter, K. 2001. How to write a scientific article. The Journal of Paleontological Sciences: JPS.TD.07.0001. (<u>http://www.aaps-journal.org/submission%20pdf/How%20to%20Write%20a%20</u> Scientific%20Paper.pdf).
	Collier, J. M., Edmondson, SJ. 2011. How to write a scientific article. Face Mouth & Jaw Surgery, 1: 5-10.
	Comfort, J. 1996. Effective presentations: student's book Oxford University Press.
	Day, R. A., Gastel, B. 2006. How to write and publish a scientific paper. Cambridge University Press, 320 pp.
	Fiedland, A. J., Folt, C. L. 2009. Writing succesful science proposals. Yale University, 201 pp.
	Katz., M. J. 2009. From research to manuscript. A guide to scientific writing. Second edition. Springer, 205 pp.
	McCarthy, M., O'Dell, F. 2008. Academic vocabulary in use. Cambridge University Press, 176 pp.
	Shubrook, J.H., Kase, J., Norris, M. 2010. How to write a scientific article. Osteopathic Family Physician, 2: 148-152.
	Stirling, J.W. 2001. Writing articles for scientific journals: A basic guide. Australian Journal of Medical Science, 22: 171-182.

	Swales, J. M., Feak, C. B. 2009. Academic writing for graduate students: essential tasks and skills. University of Michigan, 331 pp.
	www.sfedit.net
	DVD:
	http://www.bookcity.pl/effective-presentations- dvd/pid/10021
Podpis koordynatora przedmiotu/ Signature of co- ordinator	Dr hab. Agnieszka Bednarska
Podpis kierownik Szkoły Doktorskiej/ Signature of the Head of Doctoral School	Dr hab. Grażyna Szarek-Łukaszewska